

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP

156 West 56th Street

New York, New York 10019

(212) 237-1000

James J. Thomas (jthomas@windelsmarx.com)

Robert A. Rossi (rrossi@windelsmarx.com)

Ordinary Course Professionals for
Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., *et al.*,
Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re:	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	08-13555 (JMP)
	:	
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al,	:	<u>JOINTLY ADMINISTERED</u>
	:	
	:	
Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	X	

**APPLICATION OF
WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP,
FOR AN AWARD OF COMPENSATION AND
REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2009 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2009**

Monthly Fees Sought for Payment: \$234,665.00

Monthly Expenses Sought for Payment: \$ 13,050.00

Total Amount Sought for Payment: \$247,715.00

Name of Professional	Year Admitted	Hours Engaged During Period	Rate(s)	Total	Fees Charged for Similar Work in Bankruptcy Matter and Percentage Received		Time Records Submitted
<u>Partner</u>							
David L. Glanz	1980	1.90	\$495	\$940.50	Same	100%	Yes
Robert A. Rossi	1989	70.10	\$510/\$525	\$36,591.00	Same	100%	Yes
Robert J. Luddy	1992	0.50	\$515	\$257.50	Same	100%	Yes

Name of Professional	Year Admitted	Hours Engaged During Period	Rate(s)	Total	Fees Charged for Similar Work in Bankruptcy Matter and Percentage Received		Time Records Submitted
Mark A. Slama	1987	75.30	\$420/\$440	\$32,520.00	Same	100%	Yes
<u>Special Counsel</u>							
Thomas A. Banahan	1980	95.70	\$495	\$47,371.50	Same	100%	Yes
Leslie S. Barr	1985	0.20	\$460	\$92.00	Same	100%	Yes
Deborah H. Bindler	1981	9.00	\$425	\$3,825.00	Same	100%	Yes
Clifford H. Stein	1981	2.10	\$450	\$945.00	Same	100%	Yes
<u>Associate</u>							
Donna F. Alkin	1994	19.50	\$320	\$6,240.00	Same	100%	Yes
Wayne S. Cook, Jr.	1999	55.70	\$410	\$22,837.00	Same	100%	Yes
Christopher E. Dean	2000	0.70	\$360	\$252.00	Same	100%	Yes
Patrick M. Deyhle	2007	6.20	\$210	\$1,302.00	Same	100%	Yes
Carrie E. Foote	2002	7.50	\$340/\$350	\$2,565.00	Same	100%	Yes
Kim M. Longo	2002	20.10	\$350	\$7,035.00	Same	100%	Yes
Samuel Mizrahi	1993	109.10	\$350/\$365	\$39,164.50	Same	100%	Yes
Douglas A. Stevinson	1999	44.70	\$320/\$340	\$14,494.00	Same	100%	Yes
Richard Zoffinger	2001	15.60	\$350	\$5,460.00	Same	100%	Yes
<u>Legal Assistants/Law Clerks</u>							
Anna Cote		4.20	\$225/\$235	\$964.00	Same	100%	Yes
Lana Dubiago		19.30	\$160/\$170	\$3,246.00	Same	100%	Yes
Paul Goldsmith		22.00	\$195	\$4,290.00	Same	100%	Yes
Michael Hudson		18.50	\$175	\$3,237.50	Same	100%	Yes
Ronetta Nobles		0.50	\$165	\$82.50	Same	100%	Yes
Vanessa Marilyn Oppedisano		0.70	\$140	\$98.00	Same	100%	Yes
Joel L. Solomon		3.90	\$225	\$855.00	Same	100%	Yes
Total		603.00		\$234,665.00			
Blended hourly rate:			\$338.12				

Time Spent in preparing Fee Application: 25.70 Hours, including review of Fee Application filed in this case for the period February 1, 2009 through February 28, 2009.

Is the time spent in preparing Fee Application included in the total hours described in the Application? __ Yes X No

Dollar value of time spent in preparing Fee Application if compensation therefore sought is \$0.00.

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP

156 West 56th Street

New York, New York 10019

(212) 237-1000

James J. Thomas (jthomas@windelsmarx.com)

Robert A. Rossi (rrossi@windelsmarx.com)

Ordinary Course Professionals for

Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., *et al.*,

Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
:
In re: Chapter 11 Case No.
:
08-13555 (JMP)
:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al, : JOINTLY ADMINISTERED
:
Debtors.
:
----- X

**APPLICATION OF
WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP,
FOR AN AWARD OF COMPENSATION AND
REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2009 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 2009**

**TO: HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK,
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE**

Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP, (“Windels Marx” or “Applicant”), attorneys
authorized to provide legal services as Ordinary Course Professionals to Lehman Brothers
Holdings, Inc., (“LBHI”) and its affiliated debtors in the above referenced chapter 11 cases,
(collectively referred to as “Lehman” or the “Debtors”), makes this Application pursuant to
sections 330 and 331 of chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.

(the “Bankruptcy Code”), and the *Order Pursuant to Sections 105(a), 327, 328, and 330 of the Bankruptcy Code Authorizing the Debtors to Employ Professionals Utilized in the Ordinary Course of Business*, dated November 5, 2008 (the “Ordinary Course Professional Order”), for an Award of compensation for professional services rendered to the Debtors (the “Application”) in the amount of \$234,665.00, incurred during the period January 1, 2009 through January 31, 2009 (the “Period”)¹, and reimbursement of disbursements incurred during the Period in the amount of \$13,050.00, for a total award of compensation and reimbursement of disbursements of \$247,715.00.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. On September 15, 2008 and periodically thereafter (the “Commencement Date”), LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries filed voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors’ chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Rule 1015(b) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”). The Debtors are authorized to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

2. Due to the scope of the Debtors’ business, on October 13, 2008, the Debtors’ filed a motion (the “Ordinary Course Professional Motion”) seeking authorization pursuant to sections 105(a), 327, 328 and 330 of the Bankruptcy Code, to retain, *nunc pro tunc* to the Commencement Date, professionals utilized in the ordinary course of business (the “Ordinary Course Professionals”) without the submission of separate retention applications and the

¹ Due to the nature of the services provided in certain matters, three (3) of the matters included in the Application for which Windels Marx seeks to receive compensation and reimbursement of disbursements extend beyond the Period. These matters are: The Mark Redemption (303694-2172), 9/15/08-1/31/09; 25/45 Broad Street (303694-2192), 12/01/08-1/31/09, and; West Side Studio Restructure (303694-2189), 11/01/08-1/31/09.

issuance of separate retention orders for each individual professional. Windels Marx was designated as an Ordinary Course Professional in “Exhibit C” to the Ordinary Course Professional Motion and Order.

3. The Ordinary Course Professional Motion was granted by Order dated November 5, 2008 (the “Ordinary Course Professional Order”). The Ordinary Course Professional Order outlines the procedures that all Ordinary Course Professionals must follow in order to receive compensation and reimbursement of expenses for services provided to the Debtors. With respect to any Ordinary Course Professional seeking compensation in excess of \$150,000 in any given month, the Ordinary Course Professional Order provides, in pertinent part,

“that in the event that an Ordinary Course Professional seeks more than \$150,000 per month, that professional will be required to file a fee application for the full amount of its fees and expenses for that month in accordance with sections 330 and 331 of the Bankruptcy Code, the [Bankruptcy Rules], the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, the Fee Guidelines promulgated by the US Trustee, and any and all orders of the Court...”

A copy of the Ordinary Course Professional Order, with exhibits, is annexed hereto as Exhibit “A”.

4. This Application is made by Windels Marx for an allowance of fair and reasonable compensation for professional services rendered by it on behalf of the Debtors during the Period, consistent with the results achieved, the time, labor and expertise brought to bear on the problems presented and other related factors and for reimbursement of actual and necessary out-of-pocket expenses incurred in the rendition of such professional services during the Period. The amounts sought for compensation for professional services are at the hourly rates customarily charged by Windels Marx for legal services rendered by the respective professional

or paraprofessional and are reasonable and customary for firms of Windels Marx's size and expertise in the New York City and Metropolitan areas.

5. For the convenience of this Court, and in accordance with the Fee Guidelines adopted by the Office of the United States Trustee, a summary of the total hours worked during the Period by each professional and paraprofessional for whose services compensation is being sought, together with a recitation of the hourly billing rate for each person and the total compensation sought for each person's services in each individual matter is annexed hereto as Exhibit "B".

6. The professional services for which compensation is sought were rendered in the Ordinary Course of the Debtors business and solely on behalf of the LBHI and its debtor affiliates.

7. Windels Marx regularly maintains records of time expended in the rendition of all professional services and records of costs and expenses incurred on behalf of the Debtors. These records were made substantially concurrent with the rendition of the professional services. All such records are available for inspection. (A copy of the time records, in chronological order and segregated by individual matter, relating to Windels Marx's representation of the Debtors during the Period, is annexed hereto as Exhibits "C").

II. BACKGROUND

A. The Debtors

8. Prior to the events leading up to these chapter 11 cases, Lehman was the fourth largest investment bank in the United States. For more than 150 years, Lehman has been a leader in the global financial markets, serving the financial needs of corporations, governmental units, institutional clients and individuals worldwide. Its headquarters in New York and regional

headquarters in London and Tokyo are complemented by a network of offices in North America, Europe, the Middle East, Latin America and the Asia Pacific region.

9. Additional information regarding the Debtors' businesses, capital structures, and the circumstances leading to these chapter 11 filings is contained in the Affidavit of Ian T. Lowitt Pursuant to Rule 1007-2 of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York in Support of First-Day Motions and Applications, filed on September 15, 2008 [Docket No. 2].

B. Windels Marx

10. Windels Marx is a full service law firm with a broad-based general legal practice centering on corporate, banking, litigation, real estate and other major business practice areas.

11. The Debtors originally retained Windels Marx in 1993 to provide ongoing advice to the company in connection with various real estate transactions. Windels Marx has continued to provide these services to the Debtors up to and through the Commencement Date.

**SERVICES RENDERED BY WINDELS MARX,
DURING THE INTERIM PERIOD**

12. Windels Marx has opened a file bearing a separate client number for the Debtors. The Debtors' client number is 303694. All time and disbursements are billed to independent matters within the general client number. The following is a summary description of the services rendered by Windels Marx in each of the following matters on behalf of the Debtors during the Period:

Scout Issues - 2187

13. Applicant examined certain loan and joint venture agreements with Scout Real Estate Capital LLC in which LBHI has a respective debt and equity investment, to determine

various rights and obligations of the parties thereto. Applicant also finalized Pre-Negotiation Agreement with respect to multiple joint ventures, mortgage loans, and a line of credit, and attended to ancillary matters related thereto.

Carillon Modification - 2044

14. Applicant advised LBHI regarding LBHI's consent rights and ability to allow the sale of condominium units for an amount less than the minimum release price. Applicant participated in conferences with LBHI and reviewed existing loan documentation in connection therewith.

High Street RE Fund III - 2195

15. Applicant reviewed existing limited partnership agreement and certain withdrawal rights of LBHI thereunder. Applicant then had discussions with LBHI regarding the issues.

215 Brazilian Avenue Enforcement - 2196

16. Applicant finalized pre-negotiation agreement between LBHI and Borrower, reviewed the notice of default in connection with the mortgage loan and ancillary matters related thereto.

Hudson Yards - 2185

17. Applicant represented LBHI in possible foreclosure of existing mortgage loan and drafting of pre-negotiation agreement and protective advance correspondence. In addition, Applicant had discussions with LBHI on the transfer of development rights.

25/45 Broad Street - 2192

18. Applicant represented Lehman in enforcement action to recover Lehman's loans at (A) 25 Broad Street, New York, New York, consisting of (i) \$100 million in mezzanine loans, and (ii) \$278 million in mortgage loans apportioned among a senior acquisition mortgage loan, a building loan and a project loan, and (B) at 45 Broad Street, New York, New York, consisting of

(i) a \$37,705,711 first mortgage loan, and (ii) \$11,467,283 second mortgage loan. Two separate foreclosure actions were commenced in New York State Supreme Court in January 2009, to foreclose on the mortgages encumbering both properties.

Kojaian Transaction (PAMI)
Kojaian Transaction (Lehman)

19. This matter involves the workout of 26 different assets located in the State of Michigan, in which Lehman holds either equity or debt interests (or both) in improved and unimproved properties owned by separate joint venture interests between Lehman affiliates and a Michigan developer, C. Michael Kojaian. Lehman holds 17 loans with current outstanding principal balances in excess of \$270 million (with Lehman affiliates owning equity interests in 16 of the joint ventures). Lehman affiliates also own equity interests in an additional nine (9) joint venture entities, which have received loans from third-party lenders having an aggregate outstanding principal balance in excess of \$233 million.

Prism and Poinsettia - 2015

20. Applicant represented LBHI in connection with updating Deposit Account Agreements for an existing mortgage loan in Miami Beach, Florida. In addition, Applicant reviewed the mortgage loan documents to determine the existence of an event of default.

Monument Issues - 2181

21. This matter involves the potential workout of nearly twenty (20) different projects in which LBHI holds either equity or debt in various projects in the Washington, DC/Virginia region. Representation involves an omnibus term sheet and a pre-negotiation agreement and other ancillary matters related thereto.

LCOR Analysis - 2141

22. Applicant represented LBHI and a direct subsidiary in analyzing the joint venture relationship between LBHI and LCOR and the status of more than a half dozen projects.

Applicant participated in meetings with client and with LCOR. Applicant prepared memos and emails summarizing issues.

New Dawn Land Loan - 2085

23. Applicant represented Debtors in the possible workout and forbearance of an existing mezzanine loan. Applicant participated in conferences with LBHI and prepared a mezzanine loan default notice.

West Side Studio Restructure - 2189

24. This matter involves the potential restructuring of an existing joint venture agreement between LBHI and its equity partners. Applicant analyzed existing documentation and participated in strategy meetings and telephone conferences with LBHI.

Venterra Issues - 2183

25. Applicant represented LBHI in its negotiations with its joint venture partner and the issues surrounding the pledge of certain membership interests. Applicant reviewed joint venture documentation in connection with the receipt of a capital call notice by LBHI.

Moonlight Basin Enforcement - 2184

26. Applicant represented LBHI in the negotiation of a forbearance agreement for an existing mortgage on developed real property located in Montana and a related mezzanine facility and ancillary matters related thereto. Applicant reviewed issues in existing loan documentation in connection with such forbearance.

Stellar Advisor Issues - 2182

27. Applicant represented LBHI in the settlement and negotiation of a dispute arising from a joint venture agreement.

RFR-Continental Bayside Hotel - 2193

28. Applicant represented LBHI in the drafting and negotiation of a pre-negotiation agreement on an existing mortgage loan in Florida. Applicant also researched issues related to a foreclosure proceeding.

Paradise Hotel - 2194

29. This matter involves the preparation for a foreclosure proceeding on real property located in Las Vegas, Nevada and other ancillary matters related thereto, including attention towards a possible loan modification. Applicant participated in conferences with LBHI, prepared notice of default and reviewed existing loan documentation in connection therewith.

Preston Partners - 2197

30. Applicant represented LBHI in review of certain issues involving transfer violations under an existing joint venture agreement between LBHI and its equity partners. Applicant prepared a letter in response to alleged transfer violations by LBHI.

The Mark Redemption - 2172

31. Applicant represented LBHI in connection with the possible transfer of existing membership interests from LBHI's joint venture partner on a condominium project located in San Diego, CA and ancillary matters related thereto. Applicant prepared and negotiated assignments, consents and other documents relating to the proposed transfer. Applicant also reviewed and helped negotiate a term sheet with Corus Bank relating to the modification of the senior loan.

III. SERVICES

32. Professional services rendered by Windels Marx have necessarily been time consuming. However, all of the services for which compensation has been requested were rendered in connection with the performance by Windels Marx of the duties prescribed for it under the Ordinary Course Professional Order and as real estate counsel for the Debtors.

33. Windels Marx believes that the award of compensation requested in the within Application is fair and reasonable. Windels Marx requests that this Court award all fees sought in the within Application at Windels Marx's normal hourly rates, the total value of which is \$234,665.00.

IV. DISBURSEMENTS

34. Windels Marx is also requesting reimbursement of actual and necessary expenses incurred on behalf of the Debtors during the Period in the amount of \$13,050.00. The total amount of compensation for legal services rendered and reimbursement of disbursements totals \$247,715.00.

V. STANDARDS FOR ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION

35. Windels Marx submits that for the reasons set forth in detail above, the professional services rendered by Windels Marx on behalf of the Debtors during the Period were necessary and reasonable.

36. Section 330 of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes compensation for reimbursement of expenses of professionals in cases arising under the Bankruptcy Code and provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

(a)(1) After notice to the parties in interest and the United States Trustee and a hearing, and subject to sections 326, 328 and

329, the court may award to... professional person employed under section 327 or 1103 –

(A) reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered by the trustee, examiner, professional person, or attorney and by any paraprofessional person employed by any such person; and

(B) reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses.

(2) The court may, on its own motion or on the motion of the United States Trustee, the United States Trustee for the district or Region, the trustee for the estate, or any other party in interest, award compensation that is less than the amount of compensation that is requested.

(3) In determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded, the court shall consider the nature, the extent, and the value of such services, taking into account all relevant factors, including –

(A) the time spent on such services;

(B) the rates charged for such services;

(C) whether the services were necessary to the administration of, or beneficial at the time at which the service was rendered toward the completion of, a case under this title;

(D) whether the services were performed within a reasonable amount of time commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the problem, issue, or task addressed; and

(E) with respect to a professional person, whether the person is board certified or otherwise has demonstrated skill and experience in the bankruptcy field;

(F) whether the compensation is reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under this title.

(4)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the court shall not allow compensation for --

(i) unnecessary duplication of services; or

(ii) services that were not --

(I) reasonably likely to benefit the Debtors' estate;

or

(II) necessary to the administration of the case.

11 U.S.C. §330(a).

37. In considering an application for compensation made by a professional, the initial inquiry is whether the services for which compensation are sought were necessary. See, e.g., *In re Keene Corp.*, 205 B.R. 690, 696 (S.D.N.Y. 1997); *In re Harshbarger*, 205 B.R. 109 (S.D. Ohio 1996); *In re Lederman Enterprises, Inc.*, 997 F.2d 1321 (10th Cir. 1993); *In re International Coins & Currency, Inc.*, 22 B.R. 127 (D. Vt. 1982). The term “necessary services” has been interpreted by the majority of courts, including this Court, to signify services that resulted in a benefit to the estate. *In re Lederman Enterprises, Inc.*, 997 F.2d 1321, 1323 (10th Cir. 1993); *In re Keene Corp.*, 205 B.R. at 696; *In re Engel*, 190 B.R. 206, 209 (Bankr. D.N.Y. 1995). Services “reasonably likely to benefit the Debtors' estate, are compensable.” Section 330(a)(4)(A); *In re JLM, Inc.*, 210 B.R. 19 (BAP 2d Cir. 1997); see also *In re Keene Corp.*, 205 B.R. at 696, quoting 3 *Collier on Bankruptcy*, ¶330.03[3], at 330-26 (the services at issue must at least provide the estate with “an opportunity commensurate with cost” to be compensable).

38. In calculating whether the fees sought are reasonable, Courts determine the lodestar amount. See, *Pennsylvania v. Delaware Valley Citizen's Council For Clean Air*, 483 U.S. 711, at 725-26 (1987); *In re United States Football League v. National Football League*, 887 F. 2d 408, 413 (2d Cir. 1989), cert. denied 493 U.S. 1071 (1990); *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc.*, 133 B.R. 13, 22 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) (“It is now well settled that the ‘lodestar’ method of fee calculation . . . is the method to be used to determine a ‘reasonable’

attorney fee in all the federal courts, including the bankruptcy courts.”); *In the Matter of Cena's Fine Furniture, Inc.*, 109 B.R. 575, 581 (E.D.N.Y. 1990). The lodestar amount represents “the number of hours reasonably worked on a case multiplied by a reasonable hourly rate.” *Wells v. Bowen*, 855 F.2d 37, 43 (2d Cir. 1988).

39. In determining the proper allowance of compensation for professionals, a court generally looks to the (a) time and labor required, (b) novelty and difficulty of questions involved, (c) requisite skills, (d) customary fee, (e) whether fee is fixed or contingent, (f) results obtained, (g) nature and length of professional relationship, (h) awards in similar cases, and (i) professional standing, ability and expertise of the law firm. See, e.g., *In the Matter of Cena's Fine Furniture, Inc.*, 109 B.R. 575, 580-581 (E.D.N.Y. 1990); *In re Wonder Corp. of America*, 82 B.R. 186, 191 (D. Conn. 1988).

(a) Time and Labor Required.

The time and labor required to represent the Debtors during the Period has been significant. The matters handled by Windels Marx and the efforts undertaken by Windels Marx in the representation of the Debtors are fully set forth above and in the time entries annexed hereto.

(b) Skills Requisite to Perform Legal Services,
Experience, Reputation and Ability of Windels Marx.

Windels Marx believes that its expertise in the areas of real estate and litigation has substantially contributed to results achieved.

(c) The Customary Fee.

Windels Marx submits that the fee it seeks is not unusual given the size and complexity of Debtors' business, the benefits conferred on the Debtors' estates, the time

expended and is commensurate with what other attorneys of comparable experience and expertise charge on a regular basis.

(d) Whether Fee is Fixed or Contingent.

Pursuant to sections 330 and 331 of the Bankruptcy Code, all fees sought by professionals employed under section 327 of the Code are contingent upon approval by the Court.

(e) Results Obtained.

Windels Marx consistently achieves results that the Debtors find favorable and continue to work toward that end.

(f) Nature and Length of Professional Relationship.

Windels Marx has counseled, advised and represented the Debtors from their retention in 1993 through the current date.

(g) Awards in Similar Cases.

Comparable firms have been awarded fees in numerous chapter 11 cases under the Bankruptcy Code by this and other bankruptcy courts predicated upon the same criteria and resulting in awards comparable to that now requested.

(h) Professional Standing, Ability and Expertise of
Windels Marx Attorneys.

A) James J. Thomas - James J. Thomas is a Partner at Windels Marx and is a member of the Firm's Real Estate Practice Group. Mr. Thomas' practice focuses on capital markets financing and equity investments.

B) Robert A. Rossi - Robert A. Rossi is a Partner at Windels Marx and is a member of several Practice Groups, including Real Estate; Corporate & Securities; Financial Transactions; International; and Technology, Intellectual Property & E-Commerce. Mr. Rossi

focuses on joint ventures, mergers and acquisitions, start-ups, and financial services, including securities and commodities. Mr. Rossi regularly represents both institutional clients and closely held entities in real estate, corporate and securities transactions. He has also represented commodity trading advisers and commodity pool operators, as well as a number of e-commerce ventures.

40. As Windels Marx's time records reflect, where practicable, associate attorneys were used in the representation of the Debtors. Those associate attorneys had varying levels of experience and were assigned to handle specific tasks on the basis of that experience.

[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]


VI. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP requests that an order be entered providing for an award of (i) compensation for professional services rendered by Windels Marx as attorneys for the Debtor, in the amount of \$234,665.00, plus reimbursement of actual and necessary disbursements in the amount of \$13,050.00 for a total of \$247,715.00 and (ii) such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
March 31, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP

By: 
James J. Thomas
Robert A. Rossi

156 West 56th Street
New York, New York 10019
(212) 237-1000

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., *et al.*,
Debtors-in-Possession

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP

156 West 56th Street

New York, New York 10019

(212) 237-1000

James J. Thomas (jthomas@windelsmarx.com)

Robert A. Rossi (rossi@windelsmarx.com)

Ordinary Course Professionals for
Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., *et al.*,
Debtors-in-Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	X	
	:	
In re:	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	08-13555 (JMP)
	:	
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al,	:	<u>JOINTLY ADMINISTERED</u>
	:	
	:	
Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	X	

**STATEMENT PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE
OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 2016(b)**

1. I am a member of Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP ("Windels Marx"), Ordinary Course Professionals for Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., ("LBHI") and its affiliated Debtors in the above referenced chapter 11 cases (collectively referred to as "Lehman" or the "Debtors"), and the partner in charge of the matter.

2. Windels Marx submits that all of the professional services for which the annexed Application is made have been rendered solely for benefit of the Debtors' estate and its creditors.

3. No agreement exists between Windels Marx and any other person, firm or entity concerning the compensation or reimbursement received as a result of this case.


4. Windels Marx has received no retainer in the cases and has made no agreements with the Debtors for compensation or reimbursement.

5. Windels Marx has received no transfer, assignment or pledge of property of the Debtors' estate except pursuant to an Order of the Court for compensation.

Dated: New York, New York
March 31, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP
*Ordinary Course Professionals for Lehman Brothers
Holdings, Inc., et al.*



Robert A. Rossi
A Member of the Firm
156 West 56th Street
New York, New York 10019
(212) 237-1000

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP

156 West 56th Street

New York, New York 10019

(212) 237-1000

James J. Thomas (jthomas@windelsmarx.com)

Robert A. Rossi (rrossi@windelsmarx.com)

Ordinary Course Professionals for
Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., *et al.*,
Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re:	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	08-13555 (JMP)
	:	
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al,	:	<u>JOINTLY ADMINISTERED</u>
	:	
	:	
Debtors.	:	
	:	
-----	X	

CERTIFICATION OF PROFESSIONAL

Robert A. Rossi, a member of the firm of Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP,
("Applicant"), attorneys authorized to provide legal services as Ordinary Course Professionals to
Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. ("LBHI"), and its affiliated debtors in the above referenced
chapter 11 cases and partner in charge of this matter, hereby certifies as follows:

I have read the foregoing application (the "Application");

To the best of my knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry, the
Application substantially complies with the mandatory guidelines of this Court for fees and
disbursements for professionals (the "Guidelines");

To the best of my knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry, the fees

and disbursements sought in the Application fall within the Guidelines, except as specifically noted in this Certification and Application;

Except to the extent prohibited by the Guidelines, the fees and disbursements sought in the Application are billed at rates and in accordance with practices customarily employed by Applicant and generally accepted by Applicant's clients;

LBHI has been provided with a copy of the Application at least 10 days before the date set by the Court or any applicable rules for filing fee applications, has reviewed the Application and has approved it.

The Trustee has been provided no later than 20 days after the end of each month with a statement of fees and disbursements accrued during such month; and


The Disbursements requested to be reimbursed in the Application;

- a. do not include any amount of profit for Applicant;
- b. do not include the amortization of the cost of any investment, equipment or capital out lay; and
- c. to the extent provided by a third party vendor only include the amount billed to Applicant by the third-party vendor and paid by the Applicant to such vendor.

Dated: New York, New York
March 31, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP
*Ordinary Course Professionals for Lehman Brothers
Holdings, Inc., et al.*



Robert A. Rossi
A Member of the Firm
156 West 56th Street
New York, New York 10019
(212) 237-1000